

STANDARDS COORDINATING COMMITTEE (SCC) MINUTES

30 AUGUST 1995

1. INTRODUCTION/OPENING REMARKS

Colonel James Williams, Chairman, Standards Coordinating Committee (SCC) and Deputy Commander, Center for Standards (CFS), welcomed the members to the sixteenth meeting of the SCC. A complete list of attendees is attached as Appendix A. He stated that the agenda was shorter, but ambitious, and highlighted the important issues facing the Information Technology (IT) standards community.

In his opening remarks, COL Williams reviewed the agenda, emphasizing several briefings of special interest. He noted the briefing to be given by Dr. Jain on the work underway to support consolidation of the Military Satellite Communications (MILSATCOM) working groups into one standards management committee overseeing all satellite communications issues, the Job Control Language (JCL) naming convention briefing to be given by Ms. Moore, the Digital Battlefield Standard briefing to be given by Mr. Scott, and several other briefings. The complete agenda for the meeting is attached as Appendix B.

2. JCL NAMING CONVENTIONS

Ms. Etoi Moore, of the Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA) Defense-Wide C4I Systems Directorate D-32, provided an information briefing on DISA's efforts to develop a set of JCL naming conventions. She highlighted the charter of the working group established to define JCL naming standards and adopt a set of standard naming conventions acceptable to all organizations involved in processing work with the Defense Megacenters (DMCs). The group has more than seventy five members representing all Services and defense agencies. Ms. Moore discussed their execution strategy and initial implementation plan. The working group was tasked with defining standards for the following areas: Fee-For-Service (FFS), multiple virtual storage (MVS) operating system, job control language (JCL), the Defense Switched Network (DSN), systems network architecture (SNA), UNISYS operating system, security, USERID, and the portable operating system interface for computer environments (POSIX). The group identified several issues that had to be overcome to accomplish the transition to a set of standard naming conventions:

- Acquisition vehicles need to be identified and put in place to meet the schedule for hardware and software installation. For mainframes, DISA will need to get enterprise licenses to convert naming services.
- Selection of a change management system to track network and naming convention upgrades/updates is required. Ms. Moore stated a need to move to

one change management system versus today's approach where multiple systems are used by the services and agencies.

- Adoption of centralized control (not necessarily execution) for systems management.
- Execution of initial implementation upon approval of the Baseline Optimization Plan (BOP) and schedule.
- Full cooperation DISA organizations, the services and defense agencies.

Ms. Moore then focused on the work accomplished in the area of MVS, JCL, DSN, and FFS naming standards. At the last working group meeting held in July, the group completed work on these standards. The working group also developed an execution strategy and a draft implementation plan. Ms. Moore said the working group identified four basic approaches for implementing naming convention standards:

- Conversion at a central location by a DISA Central Design Activity (CDA) conversion team.
- Conversion by the services and Defense agencies CDAs.
- Conversion at the DMC by a DISA team.
- Conversion at the DMC with on-call assistance from a DISA JCL conversion team.

Ms. Moore indicated that they currently were awaiting approval of funds to acquire products to assist in the conversion process. Ms. Moore identified several keys to make the program a success. DISA must use the expertise at the DMCs to quickly implement their plan of action. They must coordinate their actions among all participants to integrate DISA's naming conventions.

At the last working group meeting on SNA network naming standards held 20-22 April 1994, the group completed the DMC SNA Network Naming standards, as well as the execution strategy, and prepared a draft implementation plan. Additional work on details is still ongoing. The SNA standard is workable and DMC technical personnel support the standard. The DMCs have begun implementation as opportunities present themselves. Ms. Moore said that the SNA network software configuration management will be controlled centrally. She then gave a brief overview of the data element structure to be used within the department to help implement the Defense Business Operating Fund (DBOF).

Members were concerned if there were enough numbers available for content indicator codes (CICs) for DOD. Ms. Moore stated that there were enough numbers. In addition, she stated that the numbers were to be used by the paying level activities only. A further issue was raised that the Data Communications Protocol Standards (DCPS) Technical Management Panel (DTMP) and Mr. Caruso of the U.S. Army's Communications Electronic Command (USACECOM) at Fort Monmouth were working on another naming standard. Mr. Hendricks, the Army representative, recommended that Ms. Moore coordinate DISA's action with ongoing efforts by the Executive Agent for Switched Systems and DTMP WG1 on Protocols. WG1 has published a report that addresses naming conventions "from the foxhole to the White House." Mr. Burt Newlin, OASD(C3I) IM, stated that he views the standard as an internal DOD formatting policy, not a technical standard.

Another question raised was how the efforts of Ms. Moore's working group are to be coordinated outside of the DOD, in particular within the Intelligence community. This is important because of the intelligence community's association with and requirement for sharing information with other state and Federal departments and agencies, as well as the issues identified by Col Mike Bennett, OASD(C3I), concerning interoperability between fixed operations and tactical organizations.

After much discussion on these issues, COL Williams stated that the SCC will help work the concerns raised by the members and assist Ms. Moore's group and OSD to ensure that a consensus is reached on naming convention matters for the good of the entire DOD community.

3. *COMBINING SATCOM GROUPS*

Dr. Pravin C. Jain of the DISA D-8 staff and the Chairman of the SATCOM Interoperability and Standards Committee (SISC) gave a brief overview of the process for developing MILSATCOM standards. In the past MILSATCOM standards were developed on the basis of the needs of the three different frequency bands within which these satellites operate, UHF, SHF, and EHF. Dr. Jain presented the EHF, SHF, and UHF standards development process as it currently exists, indicating its shortfalls. He then discussed the NATO process and showed the disconnect between the various NATO efforts to develop new STANAGs by the different sponsors. The lack of SATCOM standard development and coordination presents a big problem in coupling NATO and U.S. standards efforts and led to the OASD letter to DISA dated 21 June 1994 which proposed the consolidation of all U.S. SATCOM standards development activities into one organization under DISA, structuring U.S. standards development activities similar to NATO's efforts under the Tri-service Group on Communications Electronics (TSGCE) Working Group 8 with the same person chairing both the US and NATO working group. The letter also recommended merging the three existing US MILSATCOM working groups (EHF CCB, UHF and SHF WGs) into a single technical working group. Under this structure, DISA would provide the chairperson and would be responsible for standards and interoperability issues with the Joint Staff responsible for operational issues. On 24 July 1995, DISA presented its recommendation to the Military Communications-Electronics Board for a SATCOM Interoperability and Standards Committee (SISC), which was subsequently approved. This recommendation was subsequently approved.

Dr. Jain then discussed the SISC and outlined its responsibilities. This newly created SMC will be responsible for addressing all SATCOM issues for DOD on standards matters and provide consultancy to OASD(C3I) and the Joint Staff on SATCOM interoperability issues. Dr. Jain also stated that a consolidated technical working group should be established to develop standards to ensure interoperability between DOD SATCOM users, and between the US, its allies, and NATO. He then gave his vision for the SISC:

- Review requirements for SATCOM interoperability, both operational and technical
- Develop and maintain standards
- Incorporate new technologies in standards development
- Influence development of interoperable standards of allies (UK, FR, CA, NATO)

Dr. Jain outlined the course to be followed to stand up the SISC, which includes the development and coordination of a charter, identification of SISC membership, formation of working groups, that support the different spectrum segments (UHF, SHF and EHF), and the identification of near-term standards activities for each of the spectrum areas.

4. *INFORMATION TRANSFER MANAGEMENT PANEL*

Mr. Gerry Ring of the Information Transfer Standards Department, CFS, presented a briefing on the merger of the DTMP and the Joint Telecommunications Standards Steering Group (JTSSG). He presented the background which included personnel reductions impacting all services and agencies and the focus it gives the new standards management committee (SMC). The goal is to take the best from both SMCs, decrease overhead and costs, improve overall efficiencies and effectiveness, and empower the working groups to the greatest degree possible. He stated that the members of the DTMP, JTSSG, and the SCC concurred with the concept. A Joint DTMP/JTSSG meeting held on 26 July 1995 set objectives to combine the two groups which would then encompass the entire information transfer standards area. The meeting resulted in the following decisions. The name of the new joint panel will be the Information Transfer Standards Management Panel (referred to as the IXMP). It will meet three times a year, however, the first year it may meet four times to get the charter drafted and approved. One structure and one operational concept with working groups established for each approved project will be used. Mr. Ring said CFS will help implement combining of SMCs over the next 6 months and provide periodic reviews and support of applicable documents, such as the charter and the management plan, to the IXMP members. The first meeting of the new SMC is scheduled for 13 - 17 November 1995 at Logicon in Reston, VA.

5. *DIGITAL BATTLEFIELD STANDARD*

Mr William Scott of the Information Transfer Standards Department, CFS, presented a briefing on digitization of the battlefield protocol standards. He presented the background and status of data communications protocol standards that were developed for digitized information transfer over combat net radio (CNR) in support of joint land, air, and sea combat operations. The Joint Variable Message Format (VMF) and MIL-STD-188-220 are the heart of the digitization effort for the year 2020 and the focus of the US Army's Task Force XXI. He stated the accelerated standards development effort by Army was critical to meeting the fielding requirements of Task Force XXI. Mr. Scott said that the standards are currently optimized for small hand-held terminals and for use over CNR, such as SINCGARS. Further enhancements are needed to facilitate seamless information transfer across the entire battlefield for all users and systems. Major changes being included are the internet capability (i.e. TCP/UDP/IP), Intranet capability (relay at link layer), and a Have Quick II radio compatibility solution for aviation operations. The accelerated joint standards development, the Army's efforts to digitize the battlefield, and the Army's goal to have a demonstration (Task Force XXI) of the technology

within 2 years drive the need to accelerate the process (i.e., change existing standards or develop new standards). The use of approved joint standards in the technical architecture for Task Force XXI satisfies the requirement that all systems are joint. Mr. Scott indicated that this is an aggressive schedule, that was needed to support major digitization contracts associated with the advanced warfighting experiments. The acceleration efforts include service's and agencies' commitment to speed up the process, rapid turn around of change proposals and new standards in the DTMP, use of focused joint technical problem solving working groups and increased use of electronic tools, such as email and the Information Technology Standards Integrated Bulletin Board System (ITSI BBS) to staff standards. He indicated that the list of approved standards now includes:

- Base standard: MIL-STD-188-220A for Layers 1 (Physical), 2 (Data Link), and 3a (Intranet)
- Profile Standard: MIL-STD-2045-14502-6A, CNR, Layers 1, 2, and 3a
- Profile Standard: MIL-STD-2-45-14502-1A, Layers 3b (IP) and 4 (TCP/UDP)
- Base Standard: MIL-STD-2045-47001, Layer 7 (Application).

Follow-up work by the Joint Implementors WG, chaired by the Army, will focus on addressing all four standards, resolving implementor's issues, and formulating change proposals.

In conclusion, the approved data communications standards for CNR operations are now available for the realization of a jointly interoperable digitized battlefield. Migration to the Tactical Defense Messaging System standards is possible once they are mature.

6. ISMC WORKING GROUPS

Mr. Jim Seybold of the Central Imagery Office (CIO) presented an update on the activities of the Imagery Standards Management Committee (ISMC) during June - August 1995. Significant activities included the creation of two new working groups, the Video Working Group (VWG) and the Standard Products Working Group (SPWG). The Video Imagery Working Group was established to identify video imagery requirements for DOD and the Intelligence Community (IC), coordinate community issues and obtain resources to identify, develop, and manage video imagery standards. The SPWG is looking at imagery product standards; that is, the requirement to image, archive, and provide dissemination of imagery. Mr. Seybold indicated that there is exceptionally strong interest in participation. He also discussed the activities of the National Imagery Transmission Format Standard (NITFS) Technical Board (NTB) which included focusing on process improvement for increased efficiencies and streamlining the coordination process for changes to NITFS documentation by aligning the SD-1, ISMC, NTB coordination as much as possible and reducing NTB working group meeting agendas to action-oriented issues. The other key issue was deflecting non-standards issues to appropriate forums.

The Image Access Working Group (IAWG) is focused on developing sample Imagery Definition Language (IDL) implementation of an image access facility. Meetings are not held unless relevant issues need to be addressed. Some major program offices would like to see a quicker pace for Application Portability Interface (API) development efforts, however resource

constraints dictate today's time line. There is a proposal to expand the scope of responsibility to coordinate program office objectives, vendor community, and standards forums, such as an imagery consortium. Resources are scarce and we must explore use of existing forums before creating new ones.

DOD efforts must ensure that Federal Geospatial Data Committee (FGDC) coordination stays in step with Federal (non-DOD) government organizations. These include the CIO, Defense Mapping Agency (DMA), Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA), DISA, the US Geologic Service (USGS), National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Agency (NOAA), the US Coast Guard, the US Army Corps of Engineers, and the Commerce Department. This complicates the problem of resolution for ISMC issues, but is the correct approach for the future. They are tied to industry and academia, such as the Project Alexandria Digital Library which is addressing many similar issues concerning imagery archive and retrieval issues.

Mr. Seybold then turned to the commercialization of NITF. A multi-track approach is being taken to give visibility to NITF in non-DOD standards forums, such as the ANSI/X3H3 and the medical community in the fields of telepathology and radiology. Activities are driven by the Commerce Department's National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), the American National Standards Institute(ANSI) and the International Organization for Standardization (ISO). Schedules have moved rapidly to keep pace with the needs of industry. He emphasized that the format standard must succeed on its own merit, but the commercial community is very interested in the standard because of its low cost, low risk, high pay off approach. ISO granted approval for a new work item in July. The CIO representative is convening discussion of the standard under ISO/SC24/WG7 to work technical issues. The standard is called the Basic Image Interchange Format (BIIF) and is sponsored by the ANSI/X3H3.8 committee, as a part of ISO 12087 PIKS. BIIF will be the image format portion of ISO 12087. The USIS Standards and Guidelines document was disseminated to ISMC membership in July for comment. They will hold a meeting in September to review with the community before a final version is brought under configuration control.

7. SCC ASSESSMENT GROUP

Ms. Virginia L. Conway of the Information Processing Standards Department, CFS, provided an update on the work of the Assessment Working Group since the last meeting. The group last met 11 August 1995. They reviewed the spreadsheets of system standards profiles which currently include the Air Force, Army, the DOD Intelligence Information System (DODIIS), DMA, Joint Logistics Support Center (JLSC), the Global Command and Control System (GCCS), Intelink, and the Defense Message System (DMS). A number of differences and discrepancies exist. The working group expects resolution of 50-60 percent of these issues with the release of Version 2.1 AITS. The next steps include obtaining the Navy and USMC profiles and performing an analysis on the remaining differences and discrepancies. The potential issues include resources and participation for the analysis. Ms. Conway requested guidance for future work. Mr. Newlin, OASD(C3I) IM, proposed that the group consider expanding its scope to look at products that might be available to meet the standards for

placement in the ITSG-OSE (i.e., a products listing). A discussion followed on the way to resolve the harmonization issue. COL Williams stated that we needed to get a firm commitment from the Navy and USMC to finish the analysis. COL Williams said that it was the consensus of the group that we should proceed with the effort. Ms. Conway stated that she would call a meeting to discuss the issues and find out what needs to be done. She would report back to the chair as to what resources she needs to get the job accomplished for determining what interoperability issues might need to be resolved and accomplished.

Mr. David Lange of the National Security Agency then asked to speak on the issue of configuration management of the AITS, which is out for coordination. The bottom line is that DOD needs a single set of standards that the department has and uses. He indicated that it must be maintained and used to be useful. There must be a formal review process for the Adopted Information Technology Standards (AITS). The AITS must be approved by SCC, have consensus of the technical community for inclusion by SMCs, and a periodic schedule for the technical and decision process (which involves the SMCs, NIST, and others) must be established. There needs to be a community-wide consensus to decide how to accomplish the process.

8. DII STANDARDS PROFILE

Ms. Linda R. Smith of the DOD Standards Assistance Department, CFS, presented a briefing on the Defense Information Infrastructure (DII) profile. She defined the DII as a seamless web of communications networks, computers, software, databases, applications, and other capabilities that meet the information processing and transport needs of DOD users in peace, and in all crises, conflict, humanitarian support, and wartime roles. The DII includes the following:

- The facilities to collect, distribute, store, process, and display voice, data, and imagery.
- The applications, engineering, and data practices to build and maintain software.
- The network standards and protocols.
- The resources to design, develop construct, manage, and operate the DII.

Ms. Smith then discussed the methodology used to develop the profile. The baseline was created using AITS draft version 2.1, dated June 1995 and MIL-STD-187-700A, dated September 1994. It includes updates for known or proposed changes in standards for the next version of AITS, MIL-STD-187-700, and standards needed for the current systems. The next step is to release the profile sometime in September 1995 for staffing within the DII community.

9. INTEROPERABILITY OF GRAPHICS SOFTWARE

Mr Gary Huber of the Mitre Corporation supporting the Joint Deployable Intelligence Support System (JDISS) Program Management Office (PMO) presented a graphics briefing for the SCC. At the present time, gaps exist in graphic software capability and usability. Interoperability

standards are slow to evolve. In addition, Unix software choices are limited, less mature, and more difficult to use. He stated the purpose of his briefing was to provide graphics-related information and outline our current approach to providing usable graphics capabilities. His overview touched on graphics taxonomy, representative graphics products, current JDISS graphics, graphic data interoperability, presentation software use, features, plans, and costs. In summation, Mr. Huber outlined their current approach. Her stated that a "single canvas" approach is needed. Although multiple tools exist, selection is based on features and user preference. Mature presentation tools are available for the PC and the MacIntosh computer, but are lacking for Unix. For command briefings, like it or not, "all roads lead to PowerPoint." The community needs a usable Unix tool that can seamlessly import and export PowerPoint presentations. Multimedia/hypermedia tools on the market are not yet mature. Intelink multimedia baseline is evolving rapidly. His organization is recommending the adoption of APPLIX Graphics for low-end and Corel Draw for high-end JDISS/DODIIS use. They are encouraging the use of the GIF format for graphic interchange (as outlined in the 15 November 1994 Intelink Standards Profile). The PMO is using an existing DIA procurement vehicle to select sequenced presentation software packages. The PMO will continue evaluating commercial multimedia products and tracking Intelink multimedia actions.

10. *GRAPHICS REPORTING STANDARDS*

Major Mike Orr of the US Army Space Program Office (ASPO) presented an information briefing on standards for graphical reporting across the services. He stated his mission was to identify and recommend standards for graphical reporting for the Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff Intelligence Army (DAMI), DIA, Office of Naval Intelligence (ONI), ASPO, CIO, and the CFS. His role is to identify standards (currently defined, developing and interim) applicable to graphical reporting. He then discussed graphical reporting. He outlined the graphical reporting concept and the interrelationships among the various collection and dissemination mediums and the importance of standards and interoperability. He discussed the standards identification efforts, which include participating in developing MIL-STD 2525, IMINT data structures, and the GRAPHREP message. Recommended standards for graphics are as follows: Symbolology, MIL-STD 2525; Amplification Data 2525; C2 Core Data Model/USMTF; Data Elements, C2 Core Data Model/USMTF/Intelligence Data Models (as approved); Geographic Coordinates WGS 84; and dissemination formats, the USMTF GRAPHREP Message, MIIDS IDB transaction message, TRAP, TIBS and NITFS 2.0. He then discussed graphical reporting standards and stated DISA should be responsible for implementing the standards.

11. *REVIEW OF ACTION ITEMS*

COL Williams stated that due to time constraints the briefings on the Application Portability interface (API) for windows and the SCC World Wide Web would be rescheduled for

a later meeting. COL Williams reviewed the list of Action Items from the previous meetings and closed items 2-95-01, 2-95-02, and 2-95-04. He then reviewed the new issues that arose from this meeting with the SCC members and the CFS staff. They are listed at Appendix C. The Joint Staff member, Mr. Jack Maher, indicated that a member from the data standards community of DISA and the Joint Interoperability Test Command (JITC) should attend meetings of the SCC. COL Williams stated that both are normally in attendance and he would ensure that they were invited to all future meetings.

12. *CLOSING REMARKS*

COL Williams noted that most of the briefings had been information updates, but he felt that they were important enough to warrant bringing before the SCC. He stated again the importance of the SCC to the IT community. The next meeting of the SCC is scheduled for 8 November 1995 with the Interoperability Improvement Panel meeting scheduled for the following day. In closing, COL Williams thanked all in attendance for their time and support and adjourned the meeting at 1520 hours.

APPENDIX A

STANDARDS COORDINATING COMMITTEE

ATTENDANCE ROSTER

30 AUGUST 1995

Organization/Company Name	Member's Name
SOCOM	Barnes, Maj Ramona
Secretariat/SCC	Becker-Sabik, Ms. Patti
OASD/C3I	Bennett, Col Mark
JIEO/CFS	Booker, Ms. Angela D.
JIEO/CFS	Bragg, Mr. Norton
USMC	Brannon, MgySgt Del
DISA/JIEO	Brickley, Mr. D.
JIEO/CFS	Bridger, Mr. John
DISA/JIEO	Brincka, Mr. T.J.
OSD.OS-JTF	Burke, Mr. Leonard H.
JIEO/CFS	Conway, Ms. Virginia L.
Office of the Director/SCC	Gaon, Mr. D.
Army	Hendricks, Mr. Tom
JIEO/CFS	Hill, Mr. D.
DIA	Hopkins, LtCol Harry A.
USTRANSCOM	Hough, Mr. Tom
PACOM	Johnson, Mr. Morris
TRANSCOM/JTCC	Koerber, Mr. Myron C.
JIEO/CFS	Kirsch, Ms. B.
NSA	Lange, Mr. David
JIEO/CFS	Law, Mr. Steven
JIEO/CFS	Liguori, Mr. R.

Organization/Company Name	Member's Name
HQ AFC4A/TNAB	Mckinnon, Mr. Rex D.
OUSD(P & R)	Monteleone, Mr. Mike
DSAA	Nelson, Mr. Mel
JIEO/CFS	Pappas, Mr. A.
JIEO/CFS	Pilla, Mr. Lou
Army/CECOM	Plant, Mr. Jack
PRINCIPAL DEPUTY CFS	Ramaswami, Mr. Raj
JIEO/CFS	Ring, Mr. G.M.
JIEO/CFS	Rittenbach Mr. K.
Logicon	Riva, Mr. Russell
DMA	Roswell, Mr. Charles
MITRE	Schoka, Mr. A.
JIEO/CFS	Scott, Mr. William
CIO	Seybold, Mr. Jim
OASD(C3I)/CISA	Simon, Anthony
JIEO/CFS	Smith, Ms. Linda, R.
JIEO/CFS	Sweet, Mr. David
JIEO/CFS	Taylor, Mr. D.
AF/SCTA	Virtue, Mr. Fred
OAO Corporation	Whittaker, Mr. D.
ACOM	White, LTC
Chairman/SCC	Williams, COL James
Army/CECOM	Zavin, Mr. Jack

APPENDIX B
STANDARDS COORDINATING COMMITTEE
AGENDA
30 AUGUST 1995

0800-0815 INTRODUCTION - COL WILLIAMS

0815-0845 JCL NAMING CONVENTIONS - MS. MOORE

0845-0905 COMBINING OF SATCOM GROUPS - DR. JAIN

**0905-0925 INFORMATION TRANSFER MANAGEMENT PANEL (IXMP) - MR.
RING**

0925-0945 DIGITAL BATTLEFIELD STANDARD - MR. SCOTT

0945-1000 BREAK

1000-1020 ISMC WORKING GROUPS - MR. SEYBOLD

1020-1040 SCC ASSESSMENT GROUP - MS. CONWAY

1040-1100 DII STANDARDS - MS. SMITH

1100-1200 LUNCH

1200-1220 INTEROPERABILITY OF GRAPHICS SOFTWARE - MR. HUBER

1220-1240 GRAPHIC REPORTING STANDARDS - ARMY APO

1240-1255 BREAK

**1255-1310 SCC STRAWMAN DEMONSTRATION ON THE WORLDWIDE WEB -
MR. BELKNAP**

**1310-1330 APPLICATION PROGRAMMING INTERFACE (API) FOR WINDOWS -
MS. KIRSCH**

1330-1345 REVIEW OF ACTION ITEMS

1345-1355 CLOSING REMARKS - COL WILLIAMS

APPENDIX C
STANDARDS COORDINATING COMMITTEE
LIST OF ACTION ITEMS
30 AUGUST 1995

- | | |
|----------------------|--|
| Action Item #3-95-01 | CFS will call a meeting with representatives from the DMC WG and SCC. SCC members should be ready to identify people to discuss issues surrounding naming convention standards at a meeting tentatively scheduled for September 1995. |
| Action Item #3-95-02 | SCC Assessment Group will continue their current clean up effort, solicit and obtain input from Navy and Marine Corps, and proceed with that effort. A group meeting will be called to determine a plan to resolve the remaining discrepancies and report the status to the SCC at the next meeting. The chairperson, Ms. Virginia Conway, will make recommendations to SCC Chairman on any additional resources required. |
| Action Item #3-95-03 | CFS will complete action on a configuration management plan for AITS to ensure that it is properly placed under configuration control. It will explore the need for a special meeting to complete this action as suggested by NSA. |